



Project Information			
<b>Project Name:</b>	TAP: Colchester Rd Sidewalk Improvements	<b>Federal Project#:</b>	TAP-5B01(683)
<b>Project Number:</b>	EN24-076-379, C501, P101, R201	<b>Project Type:</b>	Construction
<b>UPC:</b>	125406	<b>Charge Number:</b>	
<b>Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):</b>			
<b>Route Number:</b>	638	<b>Route Type:</b>	N/A
<b>Project Limit--From:</b>	Colchester Road	<b>To:</b>	Featherstone Road
<b>IPM Project Description:</b>	COLCHESTER ROAD SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENT		
<b>Additional Project Description:</b>	The project involves the design and construction of missing segments of sidewalk on the west side of Colchester Road from the existing termini south of Dorothy Lane to the intersection with Featherstone Road, on the east side of Colchester Road from Walnut Street to the intersection with Featherstone Road, and on the southeast corner of the intersection of Colchester Road, Featherstone Road, and Blackburn Road. The Project also includes a signal modification to install two additional crosswalks at the intersection, improvements to bring the intersection into compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, and pedestrian push buttons.		
<b>Purpose And Need:</b>	The purpose of the project is to provide safe and continuous continuous pedestrian access where road crossings and sidewalk are deficient along Colchester Road.		
<b>District:</b>	<b>City/County:</b>	<b>Residency:</b>	
Northern Virginia	Prince William	Prince William	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

**Description of PCE Category:**

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

**UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:**

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

**IMPACTS:**

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Notes:** *Based on the review of the project plans dated 02/11/25 and the project scope, the proposed project does not involve the acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way (RW).*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

**Finding:** *Review of project plans.*

**Notes:**

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

**Finding:** *Review of project scope indicates there will be no new lanes carrying traffic for 2500 feet or more.*

**Notes:**

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

**Finding:** *Traffic disruptions will be minor and continuous traffic flow will be maintained. There will be minimal to no detours and emergency vehicle and school bus access will be maintained.*

**Notes:**

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** *01/15/2025*

**Notes:** *Based on the Cultural Resources Summary Report, the project is a type of activity that does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties, even if historic properties are present.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** *01/15/2025*

**Notes:** *Based on review of the project plans and location in VDOT's eMaps GIS and the Cultural Resources Summary Report, the proposed project will not require use of any properties protected by Section 4(f).*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

**Finding:** *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

**Notes:**

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** 02/04/2025

**Notes:** *Based on review of the project plans and location in VDOT's eMaps GIS, the project will not require a Section 404 permit.*

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

**Finding:** *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

**Notes:**

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

**Finding:** *Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.*

**Notes:**

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

**Finding:** *The project is not defined as Type I based on the Noise determination.*

**Notes:**

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** 04/09/2025

**Notes:** *Based on the Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Species Clearance, a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) self-certification was issued based on the following determinations: May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect (MANLAA) for the federally endangered Northern long-eared bat (NLEB) and the proposed federally endangered Tricolored bat (TCB), and No Effect for the proposed federally threatened Monarch butterfly. The MANLAA determination for the NLEB and TCB is based on a time of year restriction (TOYR) on tree clearing from April 1st - November 15th.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

**Finding:** *(VDOT ONLY) Hazardous Materials Summary Report.*

**Notes:**

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

**Finding:** *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

**Notes:**

19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.

**Finding:** *The project does not involve displacements, changes in access, major traffic disruptions, more than minor amounts of right of way acquisition, community disruptions, or disruption of emergency services.*

**Notes:**

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

**Muse, John C.**

---

**Environmental Manager, CE Determination**

**04/22/2025**

---

**Date**